

# ON A CERTAIN TYPE OF COMMUTATORS OF OPERATORS

BY  
MENDEL DAVID

## ABSTRACT

Let  $H$  be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a normal operator and  $G$  a compact operator on  $H$ . It is proved that the following four conditions are equivalent.

1.  $C + G$  is a commutator  $AB - BA$  with self-adjoint  $A$ .
2. There exists an infinite orthonormal sequence  $e_j$  in  $H$  such that  $|\sum_{j=1}^n (Ce_j, e_j)|$  is bounded.
3.  $C$  is not of the form  $C_1 \oplus C_2$  where  $C_1$  has finite dimensional domain and  $C_2$  satisfies  $\inf \{ |(C_2x, x)| : \|x\| = 1 \} > 0$ .
4. 0 is in the convex hull of the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } C$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert Space. The class of commutators  $AB - BA$  where  $A$  is a (bounded linear) hermitian operator and  $B$  is an arbitrary (bounded linear) operator on  $H$  will be denoted, as in [2], by  $X_H$ . The symbol  $Y_H$  denoting in [2] the subclass of commutators of  $X_H$  for which  $B$  is of the form  $iD$  where  $D$  is hermitian, will also be used here and will have the same meaning.

The class  $Y_H$  which is identical with the class of hermitian operators in  $X_H$ , was studied by H. Radjavi in [3]. His main result can be stated as follows:

(\*) Each of the following three conditions is necessary and sufficient for a hermitian operator  $C$  to belong to  $Y_H$ .

- a) There exists an infinite orthonormal sequence  $e_j$  in  $H$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n (Ce_j, e_j) \right| \text{ is bounded.}$$

- b)  $C$  is not of the form  $C_1 \oplus C_2$  where  $C_1$  has finite dimensional domain and  $C_2$  satisfies the condition

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} |(C_2x, x)| > 0.$$

---

Received April 30, 1970

c) 0 is in the convex hull of the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } C$ .

We recall that a number  $z$  is a limit point of the spectrum of a normal operator  $C$  if either  $z$  is a point of accumulation of the spectrum in the topological sense or  $z$  is an eigenvalue of  $C$  with infinite multiplicity.

Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary (not necessarily hermitian) operator to belong to  $X_H$  were established in [2]. Here are two propositions proved in [2].

- i) Every compact operator on  $H$  is in  $X_H$
- ii) If  $C$  is a normal operator on  $H$  whose spectrum contains  $n$  limit points  $z_1, z_2 \dots z_n$  satisfying

$$m_1 z_1 + m_2 z_2 + \dots + m_n z_n = 0$$

for a set  $(m_1 m_2 \dots m_n)$  of rational positive numbers, then  $C \in X_H$ .

It was shown also in [2] that conditions a) and b) in (\*) are necessary for an arbitrary operator to be in  $X_H$  and that a normal operator  $C$  in  $X_H$  has property c).

We formulate now the main result of this paper:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $N$  be a normal operator and  $G$  be a compact operator on  $H$ . The operator  $M = N + G$  is in  $X_H$  if and only if 0 is in the convex hull of the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } N$ .*

This theorem is a generalization of (i) and (ii). We show now that property (b) is a consequence of (a) for arbitrary operators  $C$ . In fact, suppose that  $C$  satisfies (a) and that (b) does not hold. Then by the Toeplitz-Hausdorff theorem that the numerical range of an operator is convex there exist a real number  $\theta$  and two operators  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C) = \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C_1) \oplus \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C_2)$$

$\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C_1)$  has a finite dimensional domain and that

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C_2 x, x) = \inf_{\|x\|=1} (\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C_2) x, x) > 0$$

where  $\text{Re } A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)$ . On the other hand we obtain from (a) that the sequence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n ((\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C)) e_j, e_j) = \text{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n (e^{i\theta} C e_j, e_j)$$

is bounded. This contradicts the equivalence of (a) and (b) in the case of the hermitian operator  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} C)$  (which follows from (\*)).

Let us note that the fact that (b) is a consequence of (a) for arbitrary operators  $C$  can also be proved directly by a method similar to that used in the proof of corollary 2 in [3].

Since, as it was shown in [2], (b) and (c) are equivalent for normal operators one has, as a conclusion, the following generalization of Theorem (\*).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $C$  be a normal operator and  $G$  be a compact operator on  $H$ .  $C + G$  is in  $X_H$  if and only if  $C$  satisfies the three equivalent conditions (a), (b) and (c).*

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $M$  is in  $X_H$  and that 0 is not in the convex hull of the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } N$ . Therefore,  $N$  is of the form  $N_1 \oplus N_2$  where  $N_1$  is defined on a finite dimensional domain and

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} |(N_2 x, x)| > 0$$

It follows that there exists a real number  $\theta$  such that the hermitian operator  $C = \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} N)$  does not satisfy (b). Hence  $C$  has not property (c). Since the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } C$  is also the set of limit points of  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} M) = C + \text{Re}(e^{i\theta} G)$  (see [4] p. 367) it follows that (c) does not hold for  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} M)$ . On the other hand, since  $e^{i\theta} M$  is in  $X_H$  one obtains easily that  $\text{Re}(e^{i\theta} M)$  is in  $Y_H$ . This contradicts Theorem (\*).

For the proof of the remaining part of the theorem suppose that 0 is in the convex hull of the set of limit points of  $\text{sp } N$ . Therefore, there exist three (not necessarily distinct) limit points  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  in  $\text{sp } N$  satisfying.

$$(1) \quad m_1 z_1 + m_2 z_2 + m_3 z_3 = 0$$

for three positive numbers  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$  with  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 1$ . Then by Lemma 3 in [2]  $N$  is unitary similar to an operator  $N'$  on

$$K = H \oplus H \oplus H \oplus H \oplus H \oplus H$$

of the form  $P' \oplus Q'$  with

$$P' = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + z_1 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N_2 + z_2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N_3 + z_3 I \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q' = \begin{bmatrix} N_4 + z_1 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N_5 + z_2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N_6 + z_3 I \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator on  $H$  and  $N_i$  is a normal operator having 0 as a limit point of its spectrum for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ .  $M$  is therefore unitary similar to an operator  $M'$  of the form  $N' + G'$ , where  $G'$  is a compact operator on  $K$ .

Let  $U_i$   $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ , be any six unitary operators on  $H$ . Let

$$P'' = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^* N_1 U_1 + z_1 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2^* N_2 U_2 + z_2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U_3^* N_3 U_3 + z_3 I \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q'' = \begin{bmatrix} U_4^* N_4 U_4 + z_1 I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_5^* N_5 U_5 + z_2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U_6^* N_6 U_6 + z_3 I \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easily seen that  $N'$  is unitary similar to  $N'' = P'' \oplus Q''$ . There exists, therefore, a compact operator  $G''$  on  $K$  such that  $M'$  is unitary similar to  $M'' = N'' + G''$ .

The equality  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 1$  implies the existence of a three by three (complex) numerical unitary matrix  $(b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$  with

$$(2) \quad b_{i3} = \sqrt{m_i} \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let

$$U = (b_{ij} I)_{i,j=1}^3$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$

$P''' = U^* P'' U$  and  $Q''' = V^* U^* Q'' U V$ . Since  $U$  and  $V$  are unitary operators on  $H \oplus H \oplus H$ ,  $M''$  is unitary similar to an operator  $M'''$  of the form  $(P''' \oplus Q''') + G'''$  where  $G'''$  is compact. Moreover,  $M'''$  has a matricial representation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} P''' + G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & Q''' + G_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $G_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  are compact operators on  $H \oplus H \oplus H$ . Let

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{H \oplus H \oplus H} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{H \oplus H \oplus H} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{H \oplus H \oplus H} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} I_{H \oplus H \oplus H} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I_{H \oplus H \oplus H}$  denotes the identity operator on  $H \oplus H \oplus H$ .

$Z$  is obviously a unitary operator on  $K$  and an easy computation shows that

$$M^{(4)} = Z^* M'' Z = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(P''' + Q''' + F_{11}) & \frac{1}{2}(P''' - Q''' + F_{12}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(P''' - Q''' + F_{21}) & \frac{1}{2}(P''' + Q''' + F_{22}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $F_{ij}$  are compact operators.

By Theorem 8 in [2]  $M^{(4)}$  is in  $X_K$  whenever  $P''' + Q''' + F_{11}$  and  $P''' + Q''' + F_{22}$  are in  $X_{H \oplus H \oplus H}$ . Moreover, using (1) and (2) one obtains by computation that  $P''' + Q''' + F_{11}$  and  $P''' + Q''' + F_{22}$  are both of the form

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & E_1 + G_1 \\ C & D & E_2 + G_2 \\ E_3 + G_3 & E_4 + G_4 & E_5 + G_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $G_i$  are compact and  $E_i$  are linear combinations of  $U_j^* N_j U_j$   $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Since, by our constructions,  $M$  is unitary similar to  $M^{(4)}$  and  $U_j$  are arbitrary it suffices to prove the next lemma to complete the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  are six normal operators such that 0 is a limit point of  $\text{sp } N_j$ . Then there exist six unitary operators  $U_j$  such that  $S$  is in  $X_{H \oplus H \oplus H}$  for any four operators  $A, B, C, D$ , five compact operators  $G_i$  and five operators  $E_i = \sum_{j=1}^6 a_{ij} U_j^* N_j U_j$  where  $a_{ij}$  are complex numbers.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. We begin by citing a known lemma:

LEMMA 2. Let  $J$  be a normal operator on  $H$  having 0 as a limit point of its spectrum. If  $\varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_3 > \dots > \varepsilon_n > \dots$  is a sequence of positive numbers converging to 0 then there exists a sequence  $R_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  of mutually orthogonal infinite dimensional subspaces of  $H$  such that

$$1) \quad H = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \oplus R_k$$

$$2) \quad R_k \text{ reduces } J$$

and

$$3) \quad \|J|_{R_k}\| < \varepsilon_k \text{ for } k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

(See [1] p. 701-702).

It follows easily from this lemma that  $H$  can be written in the form

$$H = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \oplus M_k$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

I)  $M_k$  are mutually orthogonal infinite dimensional subspaces of  $H$ .

II) There exist 6 unitary operators  $U_j$  on  $H$  with  $U_1 = 1$  such that  $M_k$  are reducing subspaces for  $U_j^* N_j U_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ .

III)  $\|U_j^* N_j U_j / M_k\| < (12(k!))^{-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  and  $k = 4, 5, 6 \dots$ .

Let  $c > \sup_{i,j} \{|a_{ij}|\}$  and  $E'_i = (1/c)E_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

Then

II<sub>1</sub>)  $M_k$  reduces  $E'_i$  for  $k = 3, 4, 5 \dots$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and

III<sub>1</sub>)  $\|E'_i / M_k\| < \sup_{i,j} \{|a_{ij}|\} / 2c(k!) \leq (2(k!))^{-1}$  for  $k = 4, 5, 6 \dots$ , and  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

Let  $G'_i = (1/c)G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Since  $G_i$  are compact, it follows, by Lemma 4.1 in [1], that

$$M_k = L_k \oplus P_k \quad k = 4, 5, 6 \dots$$

where  $L_k$  and  $P_k$  are orthogonal infinite dimensional subspaces of  $M_k$  and

$$\|G'_i x\| < \frac{1}{2(k!)} \|x\| \quad (3)$$

$$\|G'^*_i x\| < \frac{1}{2(k!)} \|x\|$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and for each  $x \neq 0$  in  $L_k$ .

Define

$$H_3 = M_3 \oplus \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \oplus P_k$$

and

$$H_k = L_k \text{ for } k = 4, 5, 6 \dots$$

It follows that

$$H = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \oplus H_k$$

and that inequalities (3) and

$$\|E'_i x\| < \frac{1}{2(k!)} \|x\| \quad (4)$$

$$\|E'^*_i x\| < \frac{1}{2(k!)} \|x\|$$

hold for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  and each  $x \neq 0$  in  $H_k$ ,  $k = 4, 5, 6 \dots$ .

Now, let  $P_k$  be the projection of  $H$  on  $H_k$  for  $k = 3, 4, 5, 6 \dots$ . Define

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } k = 3, 4, 5, 6 \dots$$

Then  $Q_k$  are orthogonal projections of  $H \oplus H \oplus H$  for  $k = 1, 2 \dots$ , and we have

$$H \oplus H \oplus H = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k(H \oplus H \oplus H),$$

$$Q_1(H \oplus H \oplus H) = H \oplus 0 \oplus 0,$$

and

$$Q_2(H \oplus H \oplus H) = 0 \oplus H \oplus 0.$$

Let

$$Z_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and for  $k = 3, 4, 5 \dots$  let  $Z_k$  be a linear transformation from  $H \oplus H \oplus H$  to  $H \oplus 0 \oplus 0$  mapping  $Q_k(H \oplus H \oplus H) = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus H_k$  isometrically on  $H \oplus 0 \oplus 0$  and annihilating  $H \oplus H \oplus (H \ominus H_k)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.1 in [1]  $S' = (1/c)S$  is unitary similar to an infinite operator matrix  $T = (T_{kl})_{k,l=1}^{\infty}$  on  $(H \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \oplus (H \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \oplus \dots$  where  $T_{kl} = Z_k S Z_l^*$  for  $k, l = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ .

It is easily seen that for  $k = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ,  $Z_k$  are of the form

$$Z_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & V_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $V_k$  is an operator on  $H$  mapping isometrically  $H_k$  on  $H$  and annihilating  $H \ominus H_k$ . The computation of  $T_{kl}$  is therefore easy and it yields:

$$T_{kl} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} (E'_k + G'_k) V_l^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \begin{matrix} k=1,2 \\ l=3,4,5,6\cdots \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} V_k(E'_{l+2} + G'_{l+2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \begin{matrix} k=3,4,5\cdots \\ l=1,2 \end{matrix} \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_{kl} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} V_k(E'_5 + G'_5) V_l^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } \begin{matrix} k=3,4,5\cdots \\ l=3,4,5\cdots \end{matrix} \end{cases}$$

Let  $(x; 0; 0)$  be any vector in  $H \oplus 0 \oplus 0$ . Using (3) and (4) one obtains

$$\|T_{kl}(x; 0; 0)\| = \|(E'_k + G'_k)V_l^*x\| \leq \frac{1}{l!} \|V_l^*x\| = \frac{1}{l!} \|x\| = \frac{1}{l!} \|(x; 0; 0)\|$$

for  $k=1,2$  and  $l=4,5,6\cdots$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{kl}^*(x; 0; 0)\| &= \|(E_{l+2}' + G_{l+2}') V_k^*x\| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \|V_k^*x\| = \frac{1}{k!} \|x\| \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \|(x; 0; 0)\| \end{aligned}$$

for  $k=4,5,6\cdots$  and  $l=1,2$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{kl}(x; 0; 0)\| &= \|V_k(E'_5 + G'_5) V_l^*x\| \leq \|(E'_5 + G'_5) V_l^*x\| \leq \frac{1}{l!} \|x\| \\ &= \frac{1}{l!} \|(x; 0; 0)\| \text{ for } k=3,4,5,6\cdots \text{ and } l=4,5,6,\cdots; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{kl}^*(x; 0; 0)\| &= \|V_l(E_5' + G_5') V_k^*x\| \leq \|(E_5' + G_5') V_k^*x\| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \|x\| \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \|(x; 0; 0)\| \end{aligned}$$

for  $k=4,5,6\cdots$  and  $l=3,4,5\cdots$ .



Hence  $\|T_{kl}\| \leq \min\left(\frac{1}{k!}, \frac{1}{l!}\right)$  whenever  $\max(k, l) > 3$ . Then by Theorem 3 in [2]  $T \in X_{(H \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \oplus (H \oplus 0 \oplus 0) \oplus \dots}$ . Since  $S'$  is unitary similar to  $T$  and  $S = cS'$ , it follows that  $S \in X_{H \oplus H \oplus H}$ . This completes the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Brown, P. R. Halmos and C. Pearcy, *Commutators of operators on Hilbert space*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 695–708.
2. M. David, *On a certain type of commutator*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1970), 665–680.
3. H. Radjavi, *Structure of  $A^*A-AA^*$* , J. Math. Mech. **16** (1966), 19–26.
4. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, Frederick Ungar Publ. Co., N.Y., 1955.

TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA